



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

TROY JACKSON, et al.

Plaintiff,

v.

OAK GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al.

Case No.: C 08-05376 JW

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND TIME FOR FILING AMENDED
COMPLAINT UNTIL JULY 30, 2010;
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE TO AUGUST 30, 2010**

Pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 6-2, it is hereby stipulated and agreed among the Plaintiff, J.J., by and through his appointed Guardian ad Litem ("Plaintiff") and each of the Defendants herein, including Oak Grove School District, a public entity school district, Emanuel (Manny) Barbara, an individual, Katherine Baker, an individual, Richard Holterman, an individual, Tamara Unck, an individual, Risa Quon, an individual, Nancy Lettenberger, an individual, Bertie

1 Mathis, an individual, Deanna Jean Mouser, an individual, and Adam Fiss, an individual (collectively
2 hereinafter "Defendants") as follows:

3 WHEREAS, the Court at the April 5, 2010 hearing expressed a preference that the parties
4 engage in settlement discussions;

5
6 WHEREAS, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is or was currently due June 18, 2010 and the
7 Parties have been ordered to file a Case Management Conference Report on June 18, 2010 and attend
8 a Case Management Conference on June 28, 2010 (Doc. 141);

9
10 WHEREAS, some of the counsel for the Parties have agreed to a six (6) week extension of
11 time for Plaintiff to file the Amended Complaint and for the Case Management Conference in order
12 for there to be sufficient time to engage in selection of a U.S. Magistrate or other neutral mediator,
13 and all counsel are willing to explore settlement and avoid the need to engage in pleading and motion
14 work at the same time;

15 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has obtained only one previous extension of time to plead in this action.

16
17 WHEREAS, the Parties are currently trying to settle the matter through either the Court's
18 ADR program or through a private mediator.

19 WHEREAS, the Parties have received an ADR Clerks Notice Setting ADR Phone Conference
20 on 6/24/10 at 10:00 a.m. (Doc. 144).

21
22 NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, through their respective counsel, agree and stipulate that
23 Plaintiff shall have an additional six (6) weeks to file the Amended Complaint and that the Parties
24 may also have an additional six (6) weeks time to comply with the Case Management Conference
25 deadlines. Therefore, the deadline for Plaintiff to file the Amended Complaint is July 30, 2010 and
26 the Deadline for the parties to file the Case Management Conference Statement is **August 20, 2010**
27
28

1 and the Case Management Conference shall be held on **August 30, 2010 at 10 a.m.**

2 **Further, the parties shall complete their mediation on or before August 16, 2010.**

3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4
5 Dated: June 18, 2010

VANTRESS LAW GROUP

6
7 By: _____/s/_____

8 Robert Vantress
Attorneys for Plaintiff

9
10 Dated: June 18, 2010

LITTLER MENDELSON

11
12 By: _____/s/_____

13 John Stanley Adler
Eric C. Bellafronto
Attorneys for Defendant Adam Fisk

14
15 Dated: June 18, 2010

DAVIS & YOUNG, APLC

16
17 By: _____/s/_____

18 Mark E. Davis
Matt Tamel
Attorneys for Defendants

19
20 Dated: June 18, 2010

ATKINSON, ANDELSON, LOYA, RUUD & ROMO

21 By: _____/s/_____

22 Deanna Mouser
Attorneys for Defendant Deanna Mouser

23 **ORDER**

24
25 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file the Complaint no
26 later than July 30, 2010.

27 **This is a FINAL continuance.**

28 Dated: June 24, 2010



JAMES WARE
United States District Judge